

January 12, 1978

we get accomplished with our workload is beside the point. I would urge you to support this motion this morning with all due respects. Hopefully we would not have had to have brought this before the body, but with all due respects to our Speaker, who has tried very desperately to set a daily agenda for us. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR FRANK LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, I rise to oppose the Carsten attempt to override the Speaker and I talked to Senator Carsten about it and let me explain what the situation is now. I went back through the Journal and I saw the hearings already established and some of those hearings were established in cooperation and in coordination with groups that had an interest in the bill. There are those that have plans to attend the hearings at those particularly established times. When we established our schedule, for instance, I went to Senator Cope and he said I would like my bill heard as early as possible at such and such a time. I agreed to do that. Now Senator Cullin who has a bill that is an interesting bill wants that set as soon as possible to get that issue before us, and in the spirit of cooperation, of course, I did that for him and that is established. As a chairman of a committee, I don't care which way we do it, but once we have done it, then we ought to keep it that way. I think that the motion by Senator Carsten is untimely, that those committee chairmen that have established the hearing dates and the hearing schedule have already done that and put reasonable effort into it to accommodate the membership, to try to get their bills when you want them and everybody wants them scheduled early. I have ten requests for that. You go to half days, obviously, everybody is not going to get their's scheduled early. But the concern I have now is the Speaker laid out the game plan and he did that timely. He did that with every committee chairman the first day we met. He mentioned that on the floor the first day we met and we knew about it, and now to reshuffle not only our hearing schedules but our personal schedules in terms of where we can be and what and what days will we have heavy hearings on and some start at noon and some at ten and some at nine, I am sure everybody has made other kinds of commitments to do those kind of things, too. I appreciate the desire to run for reelection, but usually, incumbents don't have to run quite so hard in the primary to survive, Senator Carsten, and I don't think you are going to have any problem with that. So I don't think that that time is going to matter but the other thing I want to say is that none of us are married to the full sixty days. In fact, if I could get the one bill that I have introduced out of committee and through, I would go for thirty-five days. I only have one this time. The point is is that we have presented an organized schedule. The Speaker has laid it out. We have the hearings set up. We have groups coming in. We have done the spade work to try to accommodate the membership in terms of the hearing of their bills and I think it is a little far down the pike to be making the change and so I rise to oppose the Carsten proposal.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kahle.